IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

RECEIVED

DEC 31 3 41 PM 'OI

	D=-
ELOUISE PEPION COBELL, et al.,	R. With
Plaintiffs,)	U.S. 14 (1)
v.)	Case No. 1:96CV01285 (Judge Lamberth)
GALE A. NORTON, Secretary of the Interior, et al.,)	` •
Defendants.	

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR'S RESPONSE TO SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER REGARDING THE SECURITY OF TRUST DATA AT THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

On November 14, 2001, the Special Master issued his Report and Recommendation of the Special Master Regarding the Security of Trust Data at the Department of Interior ("the Special Master's Report"). In their November 29, 2001 Response to the Special Master's Report ("Response"), the Interior Defendants argued the Special Master's Report was not entitled to review under the "clearly erroneous" standard of Fed.R.Civ.P. 53(e)(2) because it was not accompanied by a "transcript of the proceedings and of the evidence and the original exhibits" (Fed.R.Civ.P. 53(e)(1)) and did not identify all of the "government employees and private contractors" interviewed. Response at 3 n. 3.

On December 20, 2001, the Special Master filed his Supplemental Report which "briefly sets out the methodology employed by the Special Master in obtaining the information which formed the basis for the [Special Master's] Report." Supplemental Report at 2. The Special Master also provided the parties with all documents which he consulted (id. at 6) and identified

the persons interviewed in drafting the Special Master's Report (<u>id</u>. at 2-4). The Supplemental Report and the provision of the documents which the Special Master consulted address, for purposes of the Special Master's Report,¹ the Interior Defendants' arguments noted above.

The Supplemental Report does not address the substantive objections raised in the Interior Defendants' Response. As indicated in the Response, the Department of the Interior is taking action to safeguard trust data and is addressing critical problems identified in the Special Master's Report. Further, appointment of a receiver is neither warranted nor permissible under applicable law.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT D. McCALLUM, JR. Assistant Attorney General

STUART E. SCHIFFER
Deputy Assistant Attorney General.

J. CHRISTOPHER KOHN

Director, Bar No. 212357

SANDRA P. SPOONER

Deputy Director

JOHN T. STEMPLEWICZ

Senior Trial Attorney

MATTHEW J. FADER

Trial Attorney

Commercial Litigation Branch

Civil Division

P.O. Box 875

Ben Franklin Station

¹Interior Defendants reserve the right to argue that future reports are not entitled to deference if the procedural requirements of Fed.R.Civ.P. 53 and other applicable law are not observed.

P.O. Box 875 Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044-0875 (202) 514-7194

OF COUNSEL:

Sabrina A. McCarthy
Department of the Interior
Office of the Solicitor

Dated: December 31, 2001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I declare under penalty of perjury that, on December 31, 2001 I served the foregoing Department of The Interior's Response to Supplemental Report of The Special Master Regarding The Security of Trust Data at the Department of the Interior by facsimile upon:

Keith Harper, Esq. Native American Rights Fund 1712 N Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036-2976 202-822-0068

by facsimile and by U.S. mail upon:

Alan L. Balaran, Esq. Special Master 1717 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. 12th Floor Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 986-8477

by U.S. Mail upon:

Elliott Levitas, Esq. 1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800 Atlanta, GA 30309-4530 Dennis M Gingold, Esq. Mark Brown, Esq. 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Ninth Floor Washington, D.C. 20004 202-318-2372

and by hand:

Joseph S. Kieffer, III Court Monitor 420 - 7th Street, N.W. Apartment 705 Washington, D.C. 20004

Kevin P. Kingston